

Message

From: Bartley, Richard [Bartley.Richard@epa.gov]
Sent: 4/23/2019 7:31:50 PM
To: Donaldson, Guy [Donaldson.Guy@epa.gov]; Smith, Suzanne [Smith.Suzanne@epa.gov]; Stenger, Wren [stenger.wren@epa.gov]; Payne, James [payne.james@epa.gov]; Jones, Bruce [Jones.Bruce@epa.gov]
CC: Shar, Alan [shar.alan@epa.gov]; Feldman, Michael [Feldman.Michael@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Texas SSM SIP Call - NPRM Update

Ex. 5 Attorney Client (AC), Deliberative Process (DP) and Attorney Work Product (AWP)

Ex. 5 Attorney Client (AC)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Janis Hudson <janis.hudson@tceq.texas.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2019 9:42 AM
To: Bartley, Richard <Bartley.Richard@epa.gov>
Subject: PRF - looking for signed copy
Importance: High

I also left you a vm message about this.

From: "Gray, David" <gray.david@epa.gov>
Date: April 23, 2019 at 7:11:00 AM CDT
To: Toby Baker <Toby.Baker@tceq.texas.gov>, Stephanie Bergeron Perdue
 <Stephanie.Bergeron_Perdue@tceq.texas.gov>
Subject: Heads Up

Embargoed – Do not distribute, cite or quote

GM Toby and Stephanie,
 A quick heads up that I am scheduled to sign this action later today for publication in the Federal Register within about 10 days.
 Best,
 David

Today, the EPA Region 6 is proposing to find that the affirmative defense provisions in Texas's SIP applicable to excess emissions that occur during upsets (30 TAC 101.222(b)), unplanned events (30 TAC 101.222(c)), upsets with respect to opacity limits (30 TAC 101.222(d)), and unplanned events with respect to opacity limits (30 TAC 101.222(e)) do not make Texas's SIP substantially inadequate to meet the requirements of the Act. Accordingly, the EPA Region 6 is proposing to withdraw its finding of substantial inadequacy with regard to Texas's SIP and to withdraw the SIP call issued to Texas that was published on June 12, 2015 (80 FR 33968-9).